

# A CONCORDANCE CLASSIFICATION OF $PL$ HOMEOMORPHISMS OF $S^p \times S^q$

MITSUYOSHI KATO

(Received 15 December 1967)

## §1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $P$  be a polyhedron and  $Q$  a subpolyhedron of  $P$ . Let  $PL(P; Q)$  be the group of  $PL$  homeomorphisms of  $P$  keeping  $Q$  pointwise fixed. If we take  $Q$  to be the empty set, then  $PL(P; Q)$  is simply denoted by  $PL(P)$ . Given two elements  $f$  and  $g$  of  $PL(P; Q)$ , we shall say that  $f$  is *concordant* (or pseudo-isotopic) to  $g$ , if there is an element  $h$  of  $PL(I \times P; I \times Q)$  such that  $h(0, x) = (0, f(x))$  and  $h(1, x) = (1, g(x))$  for any point  $x$  in  $P$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$ . The element  $h$  is called a *concordance* from  $f$  to  $g$ . Let  $TPL(P; Q)$  be the subgroup of  $PL(P; Q)$  consisting of elements which are concordant to the identity. Then the subgroup  $TPL(P; Q)$  is a normal subgroup of  $PL(P; Q)$ , see Lemma 2.1. We define  $C_{PL}(P; Q) = PL(P; Q)/TPL(P; Q)$ . The group  $C_{PL}(P; Q)$  will be called the *concordance group* of  $PL(P; Q)$ . Meanwhile, in our previous paper [6], we have introduced the concept of combinatorial  $n$ -prebundles and the structural group  $PR_n$  which is an abstract simplicial group complex with homotopy groups  $\pi_k(PR_n)$ . In this paper we shall show that the order of the group  $C_{PL}(S^p \times S^q)$  is expressed by the orders of the groups  $\pi_k(PR_n)$  except for the case  $p = q = \text{odd}$ .

The results are as follows:

**THEOREM A.** Suppose that  $p > q \geq 2$ . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set  $C_{PL}(S^p \times S^q)$  and the set  $\pi_p(PR_{q+1}) \times \pi_q(PR_{p+1}) \times Z_2 \times Z_2$ .

**THEOREM B.** Suppose that  $p$  is an even integer. Let  $G$  be a subgroup generated by the matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  of the group  $GL(2, Z)$  of all non-singular  $2 \times 2$ -matrices over the integral ring  $Z$ . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set  $C_{PL}(S^p \times S^p)$  and the set  $\pi_p(PR_{p+1}) \times \pi_p(PR_{p+1}) \times G$ .

**THEOREM C.** Suppose that  $p \geq 2$ . Then the group  $C_{PL}(S^p \times S^1)$  is isomorphic to the direct sum  $Z_2 + Z_2 + Z_2$ .

Note. The Theorems above are essentially  $PL$  versions of H. Sato's results [10].

Along the way to prove the Theorems we shall show the existence of self-knotted tori.

**THEOREM D.** Suppose that  $q \geq p + 2$  and  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $S^p \times S^q$  is self-knotted. That is to say there is a  $PL$  homeomorphism of  $S^p \times S^q$  which is homotopic to the identity but not isotopic to the identity.

---

During the preparation of the paper the author was partially supported by the Yukawa Foundation.

However, in case  $S^p \times S^q = S^p \times S^1$ , we have the following pseudo-unknotted Theorem;

**THEOREM E.** *Let  $G(S^p \times S^1)$  be a  $H$ -space of homotopy equivalences of  $S^p \times S^1$ . For  $p \geq 2$ , there is a monomorphism  $C_{PL}(S^p \times S^1) \rightarrow \pi_0(G(S^p \times S^1))$ .*

Theorem C has the following implication;

**THEOREM F.** *For  $p \geq 2$ , there are at most two PL inequivalent PL  $p$ -knots with a given exterior, where we mean by a PL  $p$ -knot a locally flat  $PL(p+2, p)$ -sphere pair and by an exterior of a PL  $p$ -knot the complement of an open regular neighborhood of the embedded PL  $p$ -sphere.*

Finally we shall reduce the Hauptvermutung for PL knots to the Strong Hurewicz Conjecture for exteriors of PL knots; That is,

**Conjecture.** Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be exteriors of some PL  $p$ -knots. Given a homotopy equivalence between the pairs  $(E_1, bE_1)$  and  $(E_2, bE_2)$  then it is homotopic to a PL homeomorphism from  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  as a map of pair.

**THEOREM G.** *Suppose that the Conjecture above is true. Then two PL  $p$ -knots are PL equivalent if and only if they are topologically equivalent.*

We shall call a PL knot to be *abelian* if its exterior has an abelian fundamental group, necessarily, isomorphic to the infinite cyclic group  $Z$ .

At present, by virtue of Browder's result, [2], Corollary 2.4, we may deduce the following;

**THEOREM H.** *Suppose that  $p \geq 5$ . Then there are at most two PL inequivalent classes in topologically equivalent classes of abelian PL  $p$ -knots whose exteriors have finitely generated homotopy groups.*

(Compare the result of [11].)

**ADDED IN PROOF.** By C. T. C. Wall, "Surgery of compact manifolds," extending Browder-Novikov's technique, the conjecture above is true at least for abelian  $p$ -knots ( $p \geq 4$ ). Thus Hauptvermutung for abelian  $p$ -knots ( $p \geq 4$ ) is true. On the other hand, by Siebenmann, "On the homotopy type of compact topological manifolds," *Bull. Amer. math. Soc.* **74** (1968), 738-742, there is a counter-example to the conjecture.

The author would like to acknowledge his considerable debts, first to Professor I. Tamura for suggesting the proof of Theorem D, second to H. Sato for helpful discussions about the proofs of Theorems A and B of which crucial ideas were given by him.

## §2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

We continue the notations in the introduction. At first we prove;

**LEMMA 2.1.** *The subgroup  $TPL(P; Q)$  is a normal subgroup of  $PL(P; Q)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be an element of  $TPL(P; Q)$ . Let  $F$  be a concordance from  $f$  to the identity. Given an element  $g$  of  $PL(P; Q)$ , then we have an element  $G = (I \times g^{-1}) F (I \times g)$  of  $PL(I \times P; I \times Q)$ , where  $I \times g$  and  $I \times g^{-1}$  stand for the maps  $(t, x) \mapsto (t, g(x))$ ,  $(t, x) \mapsto (t, g^{-1}(x))$ , respectively. Since  $G(0, x) = (I \times g^{-1}) F (I \times g)(0, x) = (0, g^{-1}fg(x))$

and  $G(1, x) = (1, x)$ , it follows that  $G$  is a concordance from  $g^{-1}fg$  to the identity. Therefore  $g^{-1}fg$  belongs to  $TPL(P; Q)$ , completing the proof.

For our purpose, some other definitions will be needed. For a  $PL$  manifold pair  $(W, M)$ , a subgroup  $SPL(W; M)$  of  $PL(W; M)$ , called the *special*  $PL$  homeomorphism group of  $(W, M)$ , is defined as follows; Each element  $f$  of  $PL(W)$  induces automorphisms  $H^*(f)$  and  $H^*(bf)$  of the integral cohomology rings  $H^*(W)$  and  $H^*(bW)$ , where  $bW$  stands for the boundary of  $W$ . So we have homomorphisms  $H^*: PL(W) \rightarrow \text{Aut } H^*(W)$  and  $H^*b: PL(W) \rightarrow \text{Aut } H^*(bW)$  by  $H^*f = H^*(f)$  and  $H^*bf = H^*(bf)$ . We define  $SPL(W) = \text{Ker } H^* \cap \text{Ker } H^*b$ , and  $SPL(W; M) = PL(W; M) \cap SPL(W)$ . Since each element of  $TPL(W; M)$  is homotopic to the identity and induces the identity maps of  $H^*(W)$  and  $H^*(bW)$ , it follows that  $TPL(W; M)$  is contained in  $SPL(W; M)$ . The *special* concordance group  $C_{SPL}(W; M)$  is defined to be the factor group  $SPL(W; M)/TPL(W; M)$ .

Let  $D^n$  be the  $n$ -fold cartesian product of the closed interval  $[-1, 1]$  endowed with the natural  $PL$  structure. Let  $S^n$  be the boundary of  $D^{n+1}$ .

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be positive integers. In the following we shall employ the notational convention for manifolds accompanied with  $S^p \times S^q$ ;  $T = S^p \times S^q$ ,  $S = S^{p+q+1}$ ,  $S_1 = S^p \times (0)$ ,  $S_2 = (0) \times S^q$ ,  $V_1 = S^p \times D^{q+1}$ ,  $V_2 = D^{p+1} \times S^q$ ,  $T_1 = (V_1; S_1)$ ,  $T_2 = (V_2; S_2)$ . We note the identities;  $S = V_1 \cup V_2$  and  $T = V_1 \cap V_2$ . We define two homomorphisms  $b: PL(T_1) \rightarrow PL(T)$  and  $b': PL(T_2) \rightarrow PL(T)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} bg &= g|T \quad \text{for each element } g \text{ of } PL(T_1) \quad \text{and} \\ b'h &= |T \quad \text{for each element } h \text{ of } PL(T_2). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Given an element  $g$  of  $PL(T_1)$ , then  $g$  belongs to  $TPL(T_1)$  if there is an element  $F$  of  $SPL(S; S_1)$  such that  $F|V_1 = g$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is orientation preserving, it follows from the argument in ([9], Lemma 8) that  $F$  is isotopic to the identity keeping  $S_1$  fixed. Let  $G$  be an isotopy from  $F$  to the identity.

Let  $V = I \times S_1 \cup (bI) \times V_1$  and  $W = I \times S_1 \cup (bI) \times S$ .

Then  $G(I \times V_1)$  and  $I \times V_1$  are regular neighborhoods of  $V$  in  $I \times S \text{ mod } (bI) \times V_2$ . By the uniqueness of relative regular neighborhoods [5], there is an element  $H$  of  $PL(I \times S; W)$  such that  $HG(I \times V_1) = I \times V_1$ . At this point the level preserving property of isotopy breaks down. However,  $HG|I \times V_1$  is a concordance from the element  $g$  to the identity. Thus  $g$  belongs to  $TPL(T_1)$ , completing the proof.

LEMMA 2.3.  $TPL(T) = bPL(T_1) \cap b'PL(T_2)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that an element  $f$  of  $PL(T)$  belongs to  $TPL(T)$ . Let  $F$  be a concordance from  $f$  to the identity. Let  $c: I \times T \rightarrow V_1$  and  $d: I \times T \rightarrow V_2$  be  $PL$  collars of  $T$  in  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively, such that  $c(0, x) = d(0, x) = x$  for any point  $x$  in  $T$ . Now we define elements  $g$  of  $PL(T_1)$  and  $h$  of  $PL(T_2)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} g|c(I \times T) &= cFc^{-1}, \quad g|V_1 - c(I \times T) = \text{id.}, \quad \text{and} \\ h|d(I \times T) &= dFd^{-1}, \quad h|V_2 - d(I \times T) = \text{id.} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $bg = b'h = f$ , which implies that  $TPL(T) \subset bPL(T_1) \cap b'PL(T_2)$ .

Conversely, suppose that for an element  $f$  of  $PL(T)$  there are elements  $g$  of  $PL(T_1)$  and  $h$  of  $PL(T_2)$  such that  $bg = b'h = f$ . We define an element  $F$  of  $PL(S; S_1)$  by  $F|V_1 = g$  and  $F|V_2 = h$ . Since  $F|S_1 \cup S_2 = id.$ , it follows that  $F$  belongs to  $SPL(S; S_1)$ . By Lemma 2.2, the element  $g$  belongs to  $TPL(T_1)$ . The restriction  $G|I \times T$  of a concordance  $G$  from  $g$  to the identity is a concordance from  $bg = f$  to the identity. Thus  $f$  belongs to  $TPL(T)$ , completing the proof.

By the last argument in the proof of Lemma 2.3, homomorphisms  $b$  and  $b'$  induce canonical homomorphisms

$$\beta: C_{PL}(T_1) \rightarrow C_{PL}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta': C_{PL}(T_2) \rightarrow C_{PL}(T).$$

PROPOSITION 2.4. *The homomorphisms  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  are monomorphisms.*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be an element of  $PL(T_1)$  such that  $bg$  belongs to  $TPL(T)$ . Then by Lemma 2.3 there exists an element  $h$  of  $PL(T_2)$  such that  $bg = b'h$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2 the element  $g$  belongs to  $TPL(T_1)$ . This implies that  $\beta$  is a monomorphism. In the same way we may show that  $\beta'$  is a monomorphism, completing the proof.

Let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$  and  $\varepsilon$  be  $PL$  homeomorphisms of  $D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1} = D^{p+q+2}$  given by the formulas;

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{q+1}) &= (x, -y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{q+1}) \\ \varepsilon_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p+1}, y) &= (-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p+1}, y), \text{ and } \varepsilon(x, y) = (y, x) \end{aligned}$$

for any points  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p+1})$  in  $D^{p+1}$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{q+1})$  in  $D^{q+1}$ . For notational convenience, we shall denote restrictions of  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$  and  $\varepsilon$  on the appropriate subpolyhedra of  $D^{p+q+2}$  by the same notations. Let  $H^*(\varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1^*, H^*(\varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2^*$  and  $H^*(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^*$ .

LEMMA 2.5. *The group  $\text{Aut } H^*(T)$  is as follows;*

- (I) *In case  $p \neq q$ ,  $\text{Aut } H^*(T) = Z_2[\varepsilon_1^*] + Z_2[\varepsilon_2^*]$ .*
- (II) *In case  $p = q = \text{even}$ ,  $\text{Aut } H^*(T)$  is a group generated by  $\varepsilon_1^*, \varepsilon_2^*$  and  $\varepsilon^*$ .*

*Proof of (I).* Since  $p \neq q$ , we have  $\text{Aut } H^*(T) = \text{Aut } (H^p(S_1) + H^q(S_2)) = \text{Aut } H^p(S_2) + \text{Aut } H^q(S_2)$ . Moreover,  $\text{Aut } H^p(S_1) = \text{Aut } Z = Z_2$  and  $\text{Aut } H^q(S_2) = \text{Aut } Z = Z_2$  are clearly generated by  $\varepsilon_1^*$  and  $\varepsilon_2^*$ , respectively. Thus  $\text{Aut } H^*(T) = Z_2[\varepsilon_1^*] + Z_2[\varepsilon_2^*]$ , since  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  generate a subgroup  $Z_2[\varepsilon_1] + Z_2[\varepsilon_2]$  of  $PL(T)$  which  $H^*$  maps isomorphically onto  $Z_2[\varepsilon_1^*] + Z_2[\varepsilon_2^*]$ , completing the proof.

*Proof of (II).* Since  $p = q = \text{even}$ ,  $\text{Aut } H^*(T) = \text{Aut } H^p(T) = \text{Aut } (Z + Z)$ . Let  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  be generators of  $H^p(T) = Z + Z$ . We may express each element  $\xi$  of  $\text{Aut } H^p(T)$  by a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  so that

$$\xi s_1 = as_1 + bs_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi s_2 = cs_1 + ds_2.$$

By the invertibility of  $\xi$  and by the commutativity of the cup product in  $H^*(T)$ , we have formulas;

$$(1) \quad ad - bc = \pm 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (2) \quad ad + bc = \pm 1.$$

On the other hand automorphisms  $\varepsilon_1^*, \varepsilon_2^*$  and  $\varepsilon^*$  of  $H^p(T)$  are expressed by the matrices  $\delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\delta_2 = -1 \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , respectively. Let  $G$  be the subgroup of

$GL(2, Z)$  generated by the matrices  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$  and  $\delta$ . Multiplying either  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta$  or  $\delta\delta_1$  by  $\xi$ , if necessary, we may assume that  $ad - bc = 1$  and  $ad + bc = 1$ , i.e.,  $ad = 1$  and  $bc = 0$ . Moreover, multiplying  $\delta_1\delta_2$  by  $\xi$ , if necessary, we may assume that  $a = d = 1$  and  $bc = 0$ . If  $c = 0$ , then  $\xi\delta\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & 1+b^2 \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix}$  belongs to  $\text{Aut } H^p(T)$ . From the condition (2), we have  $c = b = 0$ . In case  $b = 0$ , in the quite similar manner, we have the same result. Thus  $\xi$  belongs to  $G$ . We have, therefore,  $\text{Aut } H^*(T) = G$ , since  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\varepsilon_2$  and  $\varepsilon$  generate a subgroup of  $PL(T)$  which  $H^*$  maps isomorphically onto  $\text{Aut } H^*(T)$ , completing the proof of (II).

*Remark.* The group  $G$  has 8 elements; the identity matrix,  $\delta$ ,  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$ ,  $\delta_1\delta_2$ ,  $\delta\delta_1$ ,  $\delta\delta_2$ , and  $\delta\delta_1\delta_2$ .

In the sequel we have the following:

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Suppose that  $p \neq q$  or  $p = q = \text{even}$ . Then there are splitting exact sequences;*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow SPL(T) \longrightarrow PL(T) \xrightarrow{H^*} \text{Aut } H^*(T) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \\ 0 &\longrightarrow C_{SPL}(T) \longrightarrow C_{PL}(T) \xrightarrow{H^*} \text{Aut } H^*(T) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, each  $H^*$  has a right inverse.

### §3. DETERMINATION OF THE SET $C_{SPL}(T)$

Let  $K$  be a complex. An element  $f$  of  $PL(|K| \times D^n; |K| \times 0)$  is called to be a prebundle isomorphism of the product prebundle  $K \times (D^n, 0)$ , if  $f(A \times D^n) = A \times D^n$  for any simplex  $A$  of  $K$ , see [6]. Let  $\Delta_k$  be the standard  $k$ -simplex. The complex consisting of  $\Delta_k$  and its faces will be denoted by the same notation  $\Delta_k$ . Recall that a  $k$ -simplex of the structural group  $PR_n$  of  $n$ -prebundles is a prebundle isomorphism of  $\Delta_k \times (D_n, 0)$ . Let  $\{b\Delta_k, SPR_n\}$  be the group of all orientation preserving prebundle isomorphisms of the product prebundle  $b\Delta_k \times (D^n, 0)$ . Let  $b\{\Delta_k, SPR_n\}$  be the subgroup of  $\{b\Delta_k, SPR_n\}$  consisting of elements each of which is extendable to a  $k$ -simplex of  $PR_n$ . Then the homotopy group  $\pi_k(PR_n)$  may be interpreted as the factor group  $\{b\Delta_{k+1}, SPR_n\}/b\{\Delta_{k+1}, SPR_n\}$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Identifying  $b\Delta_{p+1}$  with  $S^p$  we may think of  $\{b\Delta_{p+1}, SPR_{q+1}\}$  as a subgroup of  $PL(\mathbf{T}_1)$ . By Lemma 2.2, we may also think of  $b\{\Delta_{p+1}, SPR_{q+1}\}$  as a subgroup of  $TPL(\mathbf{T}_1)$ .

Thus the inclusion map  $\{b\Delta_{p+1}, SPR_{q+1}\} \rightarrow PL(\mathbf{T}_1)$  induces a natural homomorphism  $\alpha: \pi_p(PR_{q+1}) \rightarrow C_{PL}(\mathbf{T}_1)$ . In the same way we have a natural homomorphism  $\alpha': \pi_q(PR_{p+1}) \rightarrow C_{PL}(\mathbf{T}_2)$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $Z_2[\varepsilon_1]$  and  $Z_2[\varepsilon_2]$  be the subgroups of  $C_{PL}(\mathbf{T}_1)$  and  $C_{PL}(\mathbf{T}_2)$  of order 2 generated by the concordance classes  $\{\varepsilon_1\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_2\}$ , respectively.*

*Then there are splitting exact sequences;*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & 0 \rightarrow \pi_p(PR_{q+1}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} C_{PL}(\mathbf{T}_1) \rightarrow Z_2[\varepsilon_1] \rightarrow 0, \\ (2) \quad & 0 \rightarrow \pi_q(PR_{p+1}) \xrightarrow{\alpha'} C_{PL}(\mathbf{T}_2) \rightarrow Z_2[\varepsilon_2] \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We define the homomorphism  $C_{PL}(\mathbf{T}_1) \rightarrow Z_2[\varepsilon_1]$  by sending each element  $\{f\}$  of  $C_{PL}(\mathbf{T}_1)$  to the identity or  $\{\varepsilon_1\}$  according as  $f$  is orientation preserving or not. If an element  $f$

of  $\{b\Delta_{p+1}, SPR_{q+1}\}$  belongs to  $TPL(\mathbf{T}_1)$ , then by Lemma 2.2 we have an element  $F$  of  $SPL(S; S_1)$  such that  $F|V_1 = f$ . By the join extension,  $F$  is extendable to a  $(p+1)$ -simplex of  $PR_{q+1}$ . Hence  $f$  belongs to  $b\{\Delta_{p+1}, SPR_{q+1}\}$ .

Therefore  $\alpha$  is injective. Let  $f$  be an orientation preserving element of  $PL(\mathbf{T}_1)$ . We consider two normal prebundles  $S^p \times (D^{q+1}, 0)$  and  $f(S^p \times (D^{q+1}, 0))$  of  $S_1$  in  $S$ . By the uniqueness of normal prebundles of  $S_1$  in  $S$ , ([6], Theorem 4.3), we have an element  $F$  of  $TPL(S; S_1)$  such that  $Ff$  is a prebundle isomorphism of  $b\Delta_{p+1} \times (D^{q+1}, 0)$ . Since  $F$  is orientation preserving, it follows that  $Ff$  belongs to  $\{b\Delta_{p+1}, SPR_{q+1}\}$ .

By Lemma 2.2,  $F|V_1$  belongs to  $TPL(\mathbf{T}_1)$ . Hence  $f$  and  $Ff$  belong to the same class in  $C_{PL}(\mathbf{T}_1)$ . Thus  $\alpha\{Ff\} = \{f\}$ . By the definition of  $\pi_p(PR_{q+1})$ , an element which represents an element of  $\pi_p(PR_{q+1})$  is obviously orientation preserving. This proves the exactness of (1). In the quite similar manner we may prove the exactness of (2), completing the proof.

Combining the existence and uniqueness Theorems of normal  $PL$  2-cell bundles for locally flat  $PL$  submanifolds with codimension 2 due to Wall [9] and ([6], Theorem 4.8), we may conclude the following;

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *The structural group  $PR_2$  is weakly homotopy equivalent to the structural group  $\pi L_2$  of  $PL$  2-cell bundles and hence to  $O_2$ .*

Since isomorphism classes of  $PL$  2-cell bundles are completely characterized by the Euler classes, it follows that;

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *For every locally flat  $PL(p+2, p)$ -sphere pair  $(S^{p+2}, S^p)$  there is a  $PL$  product neighborhood of  $S^p$  in  $S^{p+2}$ .*

Now we may prove the following extension of the stability Theorem ([6], Theorem 3.3) for the homotopy groups  $\pi_k(PR_n)$ .

**LEMMA 3.4.** *There is an isomorphism  $w: \pi_p(PR_{q+1}, PR_q) \cong \pi_p(S^q)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2q-3$  and  $3 \leq q$ , or  $p = q = 2$ .*

*Proof.* We define the homomorphism

$$w: \pi_p(PR_{q+1}, PR_q) \rightarrow \pi_p(S^q, e)$$

by  $w\{f\} = \{p_2 f(\times e)\}$  for each  $\{f\}$  in  $\pi_p(PR_{q+1}, PR_q)$ , where  $e$  is a fixed point of  $S^q$ , and  $p_2: \Delta_p \times S^q \rightarrow S^q$  and  $(xe): \Delta_p \rightarrow \Delta_p \times S^q$  stand for the projection onto the second factor  $(x, y) \mapsto y$  and the embedding  $x \mapsto (x, e)$ , respectively. It is not hard to see that  $w$  is well defined. Let  $t: \pi_p(0_{q+1}, 0_q) \rightarrow \pi_p(PR_{q+1}, PR_q)$  be the homomorphism obtained by triangulating vector bundles into prebundles.

Then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_p(PR_{q+1}, PR_q) & \xrightarrow{w} & \pi_p(S^q, e) \\ \uparrow t & \nearrow \cong & \\ \pi_p(0_{q+1}, 0_q) & & \end{array}$$

Hence  $w$  is surjective. To prove injectivity of  $w$  we use the isomorphism  $\pi_p(PR_{q+1}, PR_q) \cong \pi_p(\hat{c}PR_{q+1}, \hat{c}_0PR_{q+1})$  obtained in ([6], Proposition 3.1), where  $\hat{c}PR_{q+1}$  and  $\hat{c}_0PR_{q+1}$  stand for the structural groups of  $S^q$  and  $(S^q, e)$  prebundles, respectively. Suppose that  $w\{f\} = 0$  for some  $\{f\}$  in  $\pi_p(\hat{c}PR_{q+1}, \hat{c}_0PR_{q+1})$ . Then the embedding  $f(\times e) : (\Delta_p, b\Delta_p) \rightarrow (\Delta_p \times S^q, b\Delta_p \times S^q)$  is homotopic to the embedding  $(\times e)$  keeping  $b\Delta_p \times S^q$  fixed.

Suppose that  $q \geq 3$  and  $2q - 3 \geq p \geq 1$ . Since  $\Delta_p \times S^q$  is  $(q - 1)$ -connected and  $\Delta_p$  is contractible, and since  $2p - (p + q) = p - q \leq q - 3$  and  $q \geq 3$ , it follows from Zeeman's embedding Theorem ([15], Theorem 24) that  $f(\times e)$  and  $(\times e)$  are ambient isotopic keeping  $b\Delta_p \times S^q$  fixed. Hence  $f$  belongs to the trivial element of  $\pi_p(\hat{c}PR_{q+1}, \hat{c}_0PR_{q+1})$ . Therefore  $w$  is injective. Suppose that  $p = q = 2$ . From the argument above, we note here that  $w : \pi_3(PR_4, PR_3) \cong \pi_3(S^3, e)$  and hence  $t : \pi_3(0_4, 0_3) \cong \pi_3(PR_4, PR_3)$ . Firstly, in order to show that  $\pi_2(PR_3) \cong \{0\}$ , we observe the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(PR_4, PR_3) & \xrightarrow{\partial_3} & \pi_2(PR_3) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \pi_2(PR_4) \\ & & \downarrow w & & \uparrow t & & \uparrow t \cong \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(S^3, e) & & \longrightarrow & \pi_2(0_3) & \longrightarrow \pi_2(0_4). \end{array}$$

Since  $\pi_2(0_3) \cong \{0\}$ , it follows from the commutativity of the diagram that  $\partial_3 = 0$ . By ([6], Theorem 4.14), we have  $\pi_2(0_4) \cong \pi_2(PR_4) \cong \{0\}$ . Thus, by the exactness, we have  $\pi_2(PR_3) \cong \{0\}$ .

Secondly, we show  $t : \pi_2(0_3, 0_2) \cong \pi_2(PR_3, PR_2)$ . We have from Proposition 3.2,  $t : \pi_i(0_2) \cong \pi_i(PR_2)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and from ([6] Theorem 4.14),  $t : \pi_1(0_3) \cong \pi_1(PR_3)$ . Moreover, as observed above, we have  $t : \pi_2(0_3) \cong \pi_2(PR_3) (\cong \{0\})$ . It follows from the five Lemma that  $t : \pi_2(PR_3, PR_2) \cong \pi_2(0_3, 0_2)$ . Therefore,  $w$  is an isomorphism, completing the proof.

Let  $j_p : \pi_p(PR_{q+1}) \rightarrow \pi_p(PR_{q+1}, PR_q)$  be the natural homomorphism.

LEMMA 3.5. *Suppose that  $q > p \geq 1$  or  $p = q = \text{even} \geq 2$ . Then the composition  $j'_p = wj_p : \pi_p(PR_{q+1}) \rightarrow \pi_p(S^q)$  is a zero map.*

*Proof.* In case  $q > p \geq 1$ , since  $\pi_p(S^q) = 0$  for  $p < q$ , it follows obviously that  $j'_p$  is a zero map. In case  $p = q = \text{even}$ , we recall that for an element  $\{f\}$  of  $\pi_p(PR_{p+1})$ ,  $j'_p\{f\}$  is just the homotopy class of the map  $p_2f(\times e) : (\Delta_p, b\Delta_p) \rightarrow (S^p, e)$ .

On the other hand  $\beta \alpha \{f\}$  induces the automorphism  $H^p(\beta \alpha \{f\})$  of  $H^p(T) = Z + Z$  such that

$$H^p(\beta \alpha \{f\})s_1 = s_1 + as_2 \quad \text{and} \quad H^p(\beta \alpha \{f\})s_2 = s_2,$$

where  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are generators of  $H^p(T) = Z + Z$ . Then we have isomorphisms  $\text{Aut } H^p(T) \cong \text{Aut } H_p(T)$  by the Poincaré duality and  $\text{Aut } H_p(T) \cong \text{Aut } \pi_p(T)$  by the Hurewicz isomorphism. By passing such isomorphisms we see that the degree of the map  $p_2f(\times e)$  coincides with the integer  $a$  up to sign. From the argument in (2) of Lemma 2.5, we have  $a = 0$ . Thus  $j'_p\{f\} = 0$ , completing the proof.

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Suppose that  $p \neq q$  or  $p = q = \text{even} \geq 2$ . Then  $\{b\Delta_{p+1}, \text{SPR}_{q+1}\}$  is a subgroup of  $\text{SPL}(\mathbf{T}_1)$ . In particular,  $\alpha: \pi_p(\text{PR}_{q+1}) \rightarrow C_{\text{SPL}}(\mathbf{T}_1)$  and  $\alpha': \pi_q(\text{PR}_{p+1}) \rightarrow C_{\text{SPL}}(\mathbf{T}_2)$  are isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be an element of  $\{b\Delta_{p+1}, \text{SPR}_{q+1}\}$ . If  $p \neq q$ , then clearly  $H^*(bf) = \text{id}$ . If  $p = q = \text{even} \geq 2$ , then from the argument in Lemma 3.5, we have  $H^*(bf) = \text{id}$ . The element  $f$  induces the identity of  $H^*(V_1)$  and hence belongs to  $\text{SPL}(\mathbf{T}_1)$ . Thus  $\alpha$  maps  $\pi_p(\text{PR}_{q+1})$  into  $C_{\text{SPL}}(\mathbf{T}_1)$ . Since each element of  $\text{SPL}(\mathbf{T}_1)$  preserves orientation, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $\alpha$  maps  $\pi_p(\text{PR}_{q+1})$  onto  $C_{\text{SPL}}(\mathbf{T}_1)$  and so  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. In the same way we may show that  $\alpha'$  is an isomorphism, completing the proof.

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *Suppose that  $q > p \geq 1$  or  $p = q = \text{even} \geq 2$ . Then the suspension homomorphism  $i_p: \pi_p(\text{PR}_q) \rightarrow \pi_p(\text{PR}_{q+1})$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* From Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5,  $j'_p: \pi_p(\text{PR}_{q+1}) \rightarrow \pi_p(\text{PR}_{q+1}, \text{PR}_q)$  is a zero map. It follows from the exactness of the homotopy sequence for the pair  $(\text{PR}_{q+1}, \text{PR}_q)$  that the homomorphism  $i_p: \pi_p(\text{PR}_q) \rightarrow \pi_p(\text{PR}_{q+1})$  is surjective, completing the proof.

Let  $f$  be an element of  $\text{SPL}(T)$ . Pasting the boundary  $T$  of  $V_1$  onto the boundary  $T$  of  $V_2$  by the element  $f$ , we have a closed  $PL(p+q+1)$ -manifold  $S_f = V_1 \cup_f V_2$ .

**LEMMA 3.8.** *Suppose that  $p+q \geq 4$ . Then for any element  $f$  of  $\text{SPL}(T)$ ,  $S_f$  is a  $PL(p+q+1)$ -sphere.*

*Proof.* Since  $p \cdot q \geq 2$ , from Van Kampen Theorem  $S_f$  is simply connected. We note that  $f$  induces the identity of  $H^*(T)$ . Hence observing the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the identification space  $S_f = V_1 \cup_f V_2$  we see that  $S_f$  is a homology  $(p+q+1)$ -sphere. It follows from the Hurewicz isomorphism Theorem and Whitehead Theorem that  $S_f$  is a  $PL$  homotopy  $(p+q+1)$ -sphere. Then applying the generalized  $PL$  Poincaré conjecture of dimension greater than four, we may conclude that  $S_f$  is a  $PL(p+q+1)$ -sphere, completing the proof.

We shall use the following unknotting Theorem.

**THEOREM 3.9** (C. T. C. Wall and J. Levine). *Let  $(S^{p+2}, \Sigma^p)$  be a locally flat  $PL(p+2, p)$ -sphere pair. Suppose that  $p \geq 3$ . Then  $(S^{p+2}, \Sigma^p)$  is  $PL$  equivalent to the standard  $PL$  sphere pair if and only if  $S^{p+2} - \Sigma^p$  is a homotopy circle.*

For the proof, in case  $p \geq 4$ , see [13] or [8], and in case  $p = 3$ , see ([12], p.6, §22).

**LEMMA 3.10** (H. Sato). *Suppose that  $p \neq q$  and  $p \cdot q \geq 3$  or  $p = q = \text{even} \geq 2$ . Then the double coset  $b' \text{SPL}(\mathbf{T}_2) \backslash \text{SPL}(T) / b \text{SPL}(\mathbf{T}_1)$  consists of only one element.*

*Proof.* This has been first proved by H. Sato for the smooth version in such a case that smooth  $p$ -spheres are unknotted in the  $(p+q+1)$ -sphere. It suffices to show that for any element  $f$  of  $\text{SPL}(T)$ , there are two elements  $g$  of  $\text{SPL}(\mathbf{T}_1)$  and  $h$  of  $\text{SPL}(\mathbf{T}_2)$  such that  $b'hfbg = \text{id}$ . We may assume that  $p \geq q$ . Let  $S_f = V_1 \cup_f V_2$  and let  $i_1: V_1 \rightarrow S_f$  and  $i_2: V_2 \rightarrow S_f$  be the natural  $PL$  embeddings such that  $i_2^{-1}i_1|_T = f$ . By Lemma 3.8  $S_f$  is a  $PL(p+q+1)$ -sphere. In case  $q \geq 2$ , by Zeeman's unknotting Theorem [14] and by the uniqueness of normal prebundles of the  $PL$  embedding  $i_1|_{S_1}$  in  $S_f$  over  $b\Delta_{p+1}$ , we have a  $PL$



homeomorphism  $F: S_f \rightarrow S$  so that  $Fi_1$  is a prebundle isomorphism of  $b\Delta_{p+1} \times (D^{q+1}, 0)$ . In case  $q = 1$  and  $p \geq 3$ , since  $S_f - i_1(S_1)$  is a homotopy circle, by Theorem 3.9, we have also such a  $PL$  homeomorphism  $F$ .

Replacing  $F$  by  $\varepsilon_1 F$ , if necessary, we may assume that  $Fi_1$  belongs to  $\{b\Delta_{p+1}, SPR_{q+1}\}$ . Let  $g = (Fi_1)^{-1}$  and  $h = Fi_2$ . By Corollary 3.6,  $g$  belongs to  $SPL(\mathbf{T}_1)$ . Since  $bg$  and  $f = i_2^{-1}i_1|T = h^{-1}g^{-1}|T$  belong to  $SPL(T)$ , it follows that  $h|T$  belongs to  $SPL(T)$  and hence  $h$  belongs to  $SPL(V_2)$ . Therefore, from the Hurewicz isomorphism Theorem, two  $PL$  embeddings  $h(\times 0)$  and  $(\times 0): S^q \rightarrow V_2$  are homotopic. Since  $p+1 \geq 3$ , it follows from Zeeman's embedding Theorem ([15], Theorem 24) that they are ambient isotopic keeping  $T$  fixed. So we may assume that  $h$  belongs to  $SPL(\mathbf{T}_2)$ . Thus there are elements  $g$  of  $SPL(\mathbf{T}_1)$  and  $h$  of  $SPL(\mathbf{T}_2)$  such that  $(b'h)f(bg) = id$ , completing the proof.

We define a function  $\gamma: \pi_p(PR_{q+1}) \times \pi_q(PR_{p+1}) \rightarrow C_{PL}(T)$  by  $\gamma(\{f\}, \{g\}) = (\beta\alpha\{f\})(\beta'\alpha'\{g\})$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.11** (H. Sato). *The function  $\gamma: \pi_p(PR_{q+1}) \times \pi_q(PR_{p+1}) \rightarrow C_{PL}(T)$  has the following properties;*

- (1). *The function  $\gamma$  is injective.*
- (2). *Suppose that either  $p \neq q$  and  $p \cdot q \geq 3$  or  $p = q = \text{even} \geq 2$ . Then  $\text{Image } \gamma = C_{SPL}(T)$ .*
- (3). *Suppose that  $p \geq q$  and the suspension homomorphism  $i_p: \pi_p(PR_q) \rightarrow \pi_p(PR_{q+1})$  is surjective. Then the function  $\gamma$  is a homomorphism from the direct sum  $\pi_p(PR_{q+1}) + \pi_q(PR_{p+1})$  into  $C_{PL}(T)$ . In particular,  $\text{Image } \gamma$  is an abelian subgroup of  $C_{PL}(T)$ .*

*Proof of (1).* Suppose that  $\gamma(\{f\}, \{g\}) = \gamma(\{h\}, \{k\})$ . From the definition of  $\gamma$ , we have  $(\beta\alpha\{f\})(\beta'\alpha'\{g\}) = (\beta\alpha\{h\})(\beta'\alpha'\{k\})$ , and hence  $\beta\alpha\{h^{-1}f\} = \beta'\alpha'\{kg^{-1}\}$ .

We note that  $bPL(\mathbf{T}_1) \cap TPL(T) \subset bSPL(\mathbf{P}_1)$  and

$$b'PL(\mathbf{T}_2) \cap TPL(T) \subset b'SPL(\mathbf{T}_2).$$

From Lemma 2.2, we obtain  $TPL(T) = bPL(\mathbf{T}_1) \cap b'PL(\mathbf{T}_2) = bSPL(\mathbf{T}_1) \cap b'SPL(\mathbf{T}_2)$ . It follows that  $\beta\alpha(\pi_p(PR_{q+1})) \cap \beta'\alpha'(\pi_q(PR_{p+1}))$  has only the trivial element  $\{id\}$ . Therefore,  $\beta\alpha\{h^{-1}f\} = \beta'\alpha'\{kg^{-1}\} = \{id\}$ . Since  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  are injective, It follows that  $\{f\} = \{h\}$  and  $\{g\} = \{k\}$ , completing the proof.

*Proof of (2).* From Corollary 3.6 we have  $\text{Image } \gamma \subset C_{SPL}(T)$ . It remains to show that  $\text{Image } \gamma \supset C_{SPL}(T)$ . Let  $\{f\}$  be an element of  $C_{SPL}(T)$ . By Lemma 3.10 there exist elements  $g$  of  $SPL(\mathbf{T}_1)$  and  $h$  of  $SPL(\mathbf{T}_2)$  such that  $f = bgb'h$ . Since  $g$  and  $h$  are orientation preserving, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exist elements  $\varphi$  of  $\pi_p(PR_{q+1})$  and  $\psi$  of  $\pi_q(PR_{p+1})$  such that  $\alpha\varphi = \{g\}$  and  $\alpha'\psi = \{h\}$ . Hence  $\gamma(\varphi, \psi) = (\beta\alpha\varphi)(\beta'\alpha'\psi) = \beta\{g\}\beta'\{h\} = \{bg\}\{b'h\} = \{f\}$ . Therefore  $C_{SPL}(T) \subset \text{Image } \gamma$ , completing the proof.

*Proof of (3).* It suffices to show that for any pair  $(\varphi, \psi)$  of  $\pi_p(PR_{q+1}) \times \pi_q(PR_{p+1})$ ,  $(\beta\alpha\varphi)(\beta'\alpha'\psi) = (\beta'\alpha'\psi)(\beta\alpha\varphi)$ . Since  $p \geq q$ , by Corollary 3.7 the suspension homomorphism  $i_q: \pi_q(PR_p) \rightarrow \pi_q(PR_{p+1})$  is also surjective. Hence  $\alpha\varphi$  is represented by an element  $f \times D$  for some element  $f$  of  $PL(S^p \times D^q; E_1)$  and  $\alpha'\psi$  is represented by an element  $D \times g$  for some element  $g$  of  $PL(D^p \times S^q; E_2)$ , where  $E_1 = S^p \times (0) \cup (S^p - D^p) \times D^q$ ,  $E_2 = (0) \times S^q \cup D^p \times (S^q - D^p)$  and  $f \times D$  and  $D \times g$  stand for the maps  $(x, u) \mapsto (f(x), u)$  and  $(u, x)$

$\rightarrow (u, g(x))$  respectively. Then the element  $(\beta'x'\psi)^{-1}(\beta\alpha\varphi)^{-1}(\beta'x'\psi)(\beta\alpha\varphi)$  is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} h &= b'(D \times g^{-1})b(f^{-1} \times D)b'(D \times g)b(f \times D) \\ &= (D \times g^{-1})(f^{-1} \times D)(D \times g)(f \times D)|T. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h$  is supported by the ball  $(1) \times D^p \times D^q \times (1)$ , it follows that  $h$  is isotopic to the identity. We have, therefore,  $(\beta'x'\psi)(\beta\alpha\varphi) = (\beta\alpha\varphi)(\beta'x'\psi)$ , completing the proof.

#### §4. PROOF OF THEOREMS

*Proof of Theorem A.* Since  $p \neq q$ , it follows from Lemma 2.5, (I) and Corollary 2.6 that there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $C_{PL}(T)$  and  $C_{SPL}(T) \times (Z_2 + Z_2)$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 3.11 there is a bijection  $\gamma: \pi_p(PR_{q+1}) \times \pi_q(PR_{p+1}) \rightarrow C_{SPL}(T)$ , completing the proof.

*Proof of Theorem B.* Since  $p = q = \text{even} \geq 2$ , it follows from Lemma 2.5, (II) and Corollary 2.6 that there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $C_{PL}(T)$  and  $C_{SPL}(T) \times G$ . By Corollary 3.7,  $i_p: \pi_p(PR_p) \rightarrow \pi_p(PR_{p+1})$  is surjective. It follows from (2) and (3) in Proposition 3.11 that the function  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism from  $\pi_p(PR_{p+1}) + \pi_p(PR_{p+1})$  onto  $C_{SPL}(T)$ , completing the proof.

*Proof of Theorem C.* Since  $p \neq 1$ , it follows from Lemma 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 that there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $C_{PL}(T)$  and  $C_{SPL}(T) \times (Z_2 + Z_2)$ . By Proposition 3.2,  $\pi_p(PR_2) \cong \{0\}$  for  $p \geq 2$ . Hence, in case  $p \geq 3$ , by (2) and (3) in Proposition 3.11, and in case  $p = 2$ , by ([4], Theorem 13.2) there is an isomorphism  $\gamma: Z_2 \rightarrow C_{SPL}(T)$ . Let  $\delta$  be an element of  $C_{PL}(T)$  corresponding to an element of  $Z_2 + Z_2 \cong \text{Aut } H^*(T)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a generator of  $C_{SPL}(T) \cong Z_2$ . Since  $H^*(\delta^{-1}\varphi\delta) = \text{id.}$ ,  $\delta^{-1}\varphi\delta$  belongs to  $C_{SPL}(T) \cong Z_2$ . Hence we have  $\delta^{-1}\varphi\delta = \varphi$ , or  $\varphi\delta = \delta\varphi$ . We have therefore an isomorphism  $Z_2 + Z_2 + Z_2 \cong C_{PL}(T)$ , completing the proof.

*Proof of Theorem D.* Let  $G(S^p \times S^q)$  be the  $H$ -space of homotopy equivalences of  $S^p \times S^q$  onto itself. Let  $G_n$  be the  $H$ -space of homotopy equivalences of  $S^{n-1}$  onto itself. Then we obtain a homomorphism  $\varphi: \pi_q(G_{p+1}^2) \rightarrow \pi_0(G(S^p \times S^q))$  as follows; If a map  $f: S^q \rightarrow G_{p+1}$  represents an element  $\{f\}$  of  $\pi_q(G_{p+1})$ , then the corresponding element  $\varphi\{f\}$  is defined to be the homotopy class of a map  $f': S^p \times S^q \rightarrow S^p \times S^q$  which is induced from  $f$  by  $f'(x, y) = (f(y)(x), y)$  for  $x$  in  $S^p$  and  $y$  in  $S^q$ . It is not hard to see that  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism (refer the Proposition 2.4). We consider the homomorphism  $i: C_{PL}(S^p \times S^q) \rightarrow \pi_0(G(S^p \times S^q))$  induced from the inclusion map  $PL(S^p \times S^q) \subset H(S^p \times S^q)$ . Suppose that  $p + 2 \leq q$ . Consider the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_p(PR_{q+1}) & \xrightarrow{\beta\alpha} & C_{SPL}(T) \\ \uparrow i & & \searrow i' \\ \pi_p(O_{q+1}) & \xrightarrow{J_p} & \pi_p(G_{q+1}) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \varphi \\ \searrow \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_0(G(T)) \\ \nearrow \end{array}$$

where  $i: \pi_p(0_{q+1}) \rightarrow \pi_p(PR_{q+1})$  is the monomorphism in ([6], Theorem 4.14) obtained from Hirsch-Mazur's exact sequence,  $i' = i|_{C_{SPL}(T)}$ , and  $J_p: \pi_p(0_{q+1}) \rightarrow \pi_p(G_{q+1}) \cong \pi_{p+q}(S^q)$  is essentially the  $J$ -homomorphism. Now  $\pi_{p+q}(S^q)$  is a stable group and hence finite, but for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$   $\pi_p(0_{q+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  hence  $\text{Ker } J_p \neq (0)$ . Let  $x = \text{Ker } J_p$  be non-zero and let  $\xi = \beta x t(x)$ . Then  $i'(\xi) = 0$  since  $J_p(x) = 0$  but  $\beta x t$  is injective.

So if  $f$  represents  $\xi$ ,  $f$  is not concordant to the identity but is homotopic to it.

Therefore  $f$  is not isotopic to the identity. Thus for  $q \geq p + 2$  and  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$   $T = S^p \times S^q$  is self-knotted, completing the proof.

*Proof of Theorem E.* We note that the inclusion map  $0_{p+1} \subset G_{p+1}$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1(0_{p+1}) \cong \pi_1(G_{p+1})$ . From ([6], Theorem 4.14) and Proposition 3.11, we have isomorphisms  $\pi_1(0_{p+1}) \cong \pi_1(PR_{p+1}) = C_{SPL}(S^p \times S^1)$  such that the following diagram commutes;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(0_{p+1}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_1(G_{p+1}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \varphi \\ C_{SPL}(S^p \times S^1) & \xrightarrow{i'} & \pi_0(G(S^p \times S^1)), \text{ where } i' = i|_{C_{SPL}(S^p \times S^1)}. \end{array}$$

Since  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism, it follows that  $i'$  is a monomorphism. The kernel of  $i$  is clearly contained in  $C_{SPL}(S^p \times S^1)$ . Thus  $i$  is a monomorphism, completing the proof.

*Proof of Theorem F.* Let  $(S^{p+2}, S_1^p)$  and  $(S^{p+2}, S_1^p)$  be two locally flat  $PL$   $(p+2, p)$ -sphere pairs. Let  $N_i$  be a regular neighborhood of  $S_i^p$  in  $S^{p+2}$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $E_i$  be an exterior  $S^{p+2} - N_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ . Suppose that  $p \geq 2$  and  $E_1, E_2$  are  $PL$  homeomorphic to a  $PL$  manifold  $E$  with boundary  $S^p \times S^1$ . Let  $g_i: E \rightarrow E_i$  be a  $PL$  homeomorphism for each  $i = 1, 2$ . By Proposition 3.3 there is a  $PL$  homeomorphism  $f_i: (S^p \times D^2, S^p \times (0)) \rightarrow (S^{p+2}, S_i^p)$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $k_i = g_i^{-1} f_i|_{S^p \times S^1}$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ . We note that the  $PL$  homeomorphisms  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are considered as elements of  $PL(S^p \times D^2)$  such that  $\varepsilon_i(S^p \times (0)) = S^p \times (0)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . By Theorem C, replacing  $f_i$  by either  $f_i \varepsilon_1$ ,  $f_i \varepsilon_2$  or  $f_i \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2$  if necessary, we may assume that the concordance class of  $k_i$  belongs to  $C_{SPL}(S^p \times S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  belong to the same class in  $C_{SPL}(S^p \times S^1)$ , then  $k_2^{-1} k_1$  is concordant to the identity. Hence we may extend  $k_2^{-1} k_1$  to an element  $k$  of  $PL(S^p \times D^2; S^p \times (0))$  as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. Then we have a  $PL$  homeomorphism  $h: (S^{p+2}, S_1^p) \rightarrow (S^{p+2}, S_2^p)$  by setting  $h|_{E_1} = g_2 g_1^{-1}$  and  $h|_{N_1} = f_2 k f_1^{-1}$ . Thus  $(S^{p+2}, S_1^p)$  and  $(S^{p+2}, S_2^p)$  are equivalent. Since  $C_{SPL}(S^p \times S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ , it follows that there are at most two inequivalent  $PL$  locally flat  $(p+2, p)$ -sphere pairs with a given exterior  $E$ , completing the proof.

## §5. PROOF OF THEOREM G

In order to prove Theorem G we need the following Lemma;

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Let  $(W, M)$  and  $(W', M')$  be two  $PL$   $(m+n, m)$ -manifold pairs consisting of closed  $PL$  manifolds. Given a homeomorphism  $g: (W, M) \rightarrow (W', M')$ , then there exist regular neighborhoods  $N$  of  $M$  in  $W$  and  $N'$  of  $M'$  in  $W'$  and a map  $f: (W, N, M) \rightarrow (W', N', M')$  such that  $f|_{E: (E, T) \rightarrow (E', T')}$  and  $f|_{N: (N, T, M) \rightarrow (N', T', M')}$  are*

homotopy equivalences, where  $E = W - N$ ,  $E' = W' - N'$ ,  $T = bE = bN$  and  $T' = bE' = bN'$ .

*Proof.* We may take regular neighborhoods  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  and  $N_3$  of  $M$  in  $W$  and  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  and  $N_3$  of  $M'$  in  $W'$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} g(N_1) \supset N'_1, g^{-1}(\text{Int } N'_1) \supset N_2, g(\text{Int } N_2) \supset N'_2, \\ g^{-1}(\text{Int } N'_2) \supset N_3, \text{ and } g(N_3) \supset N'_3. \text{ Let } A = \overline{N_2 - N_3} \text{ and } A' = \overline{N'_1 - N'_2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the regular neighborhood annulus Theorem [4], we may identify  $A$  and  $A'$  with  $I \times bN_3$  and  $I \times bN'_2$ , respectively, so that  $bN_3 = (0) \times bN_3$  and  $bN'_2 = (0) \times bN'_2$ . Then there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} g([1 - \varepsilon, 1] \times bN_3) \subset (\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon) \times bN'_2 \quad \text{and} \\ g([0, \varepsilon] \times bN_3) \subset \text{Int } N'_2 - N'_3. \end{aligned}$$

We define two maps  $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$  and  $\alpha': A' \rightarrow A'$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t, x) &= (\varepsilon^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)t, x), \alpha'(t, y) = (t, y) \text{ for } (t, x, y) \text{ in } [0, \varepsilon] \times bN_3 \times bN'_2, \\ \alpha(t, x) &= (1 - \varepsilon, x), \alpha'(t, y) = (\varepsilon, y) \text{ for } (t, x, y) \text{ in } [\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon] \times bN_3 \times bN'_2, \text{ and} \\ \alpha(t, x) &= (t, x), \alpha'(t, y) = (\varepsilon^{-1}((1 - \varepsilon)t + 2\varepsilon - 1), y) \text{ for } (t, x, y) \text{ in } [1 - \varepsilon, 1] \times bN_3 \times bN'_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha|_{bA} = id.$  and  $\alpha'|_{bA'} = id.$ , we may define two maps  $\beta: (W, M) \rightarrow (W, M)$  and  $\beta': (W', M') \rightarrow (W', M')$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta|_{\overline{W-A}} = id., \beta|_A = \alpha \quad \text{and} \\ \beta'|_{\overline{W'-A'}} = id., \beta'|_{A'} = \alpha'. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N = N_3 \cup [0, 1 - \varepsilon] \times bN_3$ ,  $N' = N'_2 \cup [0, 1 - \varepsilon] \times bN'_2$ ,  $f = \beta'g$  and  $f' = \beta'g^{-1}$ . Then  $N$  and  $N'$  are clearly regular neighborhoods of  $M$  and  $M'$  in  $W$  and  $W'$ , respectively. We shall show in the following that  $f: (W, M) \rightarrow (W', M')$  satisfies the required condition. We note that  $f(N) = N'$ ,  $f'f|_{\overline{W-N_1}} = id.$ ,  $f'f|_{\overline{W'-N'_1}} = id.$ ,  $f'f|_{N_3} = id.$ , and  $f \cdot f'|_{N_3} = id.$ . Since by the regular neighborhood annulus Theorem  $\overline{N_1 - N}$  and  $\overline{N' - N'}$  are identified with  $I \times bN$  and  $I \times bN'$ , respectively, and since  $f'f|_{I \times bN}$  and  $f'f'|_{I \times bN'}$  are considered as homotopies from  $f'f|_{bN}$  and  $f'f'|_{bN'}$  to the identity maps, respectively, it follows that  $f'f|(E, bE)$  and  $f'f|(E', bE')$  are homotopic to the identity maps keeping  $\overline{W-N_1}$  and  $\overline{W'-N'_1}$  fixed, where  $E = W - N$  and  $E' = W' - N'$ . In the same way, we may show that  $f'f|(N, bN)$  and  $f'f|(N', bN')$  are homotopic to the identity maps keeping  $N_3$  and  $N_3$  fixed, completing the proof.

*Proof of Theorem G.* For notational convenience we put  $S^{p+2} = S$ ,  $S_1^p = S_1$  and  $S_2^p = S_2$ . By Lemma 5.1, we have two regular neighborhoods  $N_1$  of  $S_1$  in  $S$  and  $N_2$  of  $S_2$  in  $S$  and a map  $f: (S/N_1, S_1) \rightarrow (S, N_2, S_2)$  so that  $f_1: (E_1, T_1) \rightarrow (E_2, T_2)$  and  $f_2: (N_1, T_1, S_1) \rightarrow (N_2, T_2, S_2)$  are homotopy equivalences, where  $E_i = \overline{S - N_i}$ ,  $T_i = bE_i = bN_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $f_1 = f|_{E_1}$  and  $f_2 = f|_{N_1}$ . Since by the uniqueness of regular neighborhoods the  $PL$  homeomorphism classes of the exteriors  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are unique, it follows from the assumption that there exists a  $PL$  homeomorphism  $h_1: (E_1, T_1) \rightarrow (E_2, T_2)$  which is homotopic to  $f_1: (E_1, T_1) \rightarrow (E_2, T_2)$ . From Proposition 3.3, we have  $PL$  homeomorphisms  $c_i: (S_i \times D^2, S_i \times (0))$

$\rightarrow (N_i, S_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  such that  $c_i(x, 0) = x$  for  $x$  in  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $c_2^{-1} f c_1: (S_1 \times D^2, S_1 \times (0)) \rightarrow (S_2 \times D^2, S_2 \times (0))$  is a homotopy equivalence. By the covering homotopy Theorem, the map  $c_2^{-1} f c_1$  is homotopic to a fiber preserving map  $g_1: (S_1 \times D^2, S_1 \times (0)) \rightarrow (S_2 \times D^2, S_2 \times (0))$ . Since  $g_1$  is a homotopy equivalence and since  $\pi_p(G_2) \cong \pi_p(0_2) \cong \pi_p(\pi L_2)$  for all  $p$ , it follows that  $g_1$  is fiberwise homotopic to a  $PL$  homeomorphism  $g_2: (S_1 \times D^2, S_1 \times (0)) \rightarrow (S_2 \times D^2, S_2 \times (0))$ , where  $\pi L_2$  stands for the c.s.s.) structural group of  $PL$  2 cell bundle.

We put  $h_2 = c_2 g_2 c_1^{-1}$ .

Since  $h_1|_{T_1}: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$  and  $h_2|_{T_1}: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$  are homotopic to the homotopy equivalence  $f|_{T_1}: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ , it follows that  $h_2^{-1} h_1|_{T_1}: T_1 \rightarrow T_1$  is homotopic to the identity map. From Corollary to Theorem C,  $h_2^{-1} h_1|_{T_1}$  is concordant to the identity map. Hence we have a  $PL$  homeomorphism  $h_3: (N_1, S_1) \rightarrow (N_2, S_2)$  such that  $h_3|_{T_1} = h_2^{-1} h_1|_{T_1}$ . Thus we may define a  $PL$  homeomorphism  $h: (S, S_1) \rightarrow (S, S_2)$  by setting  $h|_{E_1} = h_1$  and  $h|_{N_1} = h_2 h_3$ , completing the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. F. ADAMS: On the groups  $J(X)$ —IV, *Topology* **5** (1966) 21–71.
2. W. Browder: Manifolds with  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ , *Bull. Am. math. Soc.* **72** (1966), 225–231.
3. W. Browder: Diffeomorphisms of 1-connected manifolds, *Trans. Am. math. Soc.* **128** (1967), 155–163.
4. H. GLUCK: The embedding of two spheres in the four sphere, *Trans. Am. math. Soc.* **104** (1964), 303–333.
5. J. F. P. HUDSON and E. C. ZEEMAN: On regular neighborhoods, *Proc. Lond. math. Soc.* **14** (1964), 719–745.
6. M. KATO: Combinatorial prebundles Part I, *Osaka Jnl. Math.* **4** (1967), 289–303.
7. M. KATO: Combinatorial prebundles Part II, *Osaka Jnl. Math.* **4** (1967), 305–311.
8. J. LEVINE: Unknotting spheres in codimension two, *Topology* **4** (1965), 9–16.
9. H. Noguchi: Obstructions to locally flat embeddings of combinatorial manifolds, *Topology* **5** (1966), 203–213.
10. H. SATO: Diffeomorphism groups and classification of manifolds, (to appear in *J. Math. Soc. Japan*).
11. L. SIEBENMANN and J. SONDOW: Some homeomorphic sphere pairs that are combinatorially distinct, *Comment. math. helvet.* **41** (1966–67), 261–272.
12. C. T. C. Wall: Locally flat  $PL$  submanifolds with codimension two, *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc. math. phys. Sci.* **63** (1967), 5–8.
13. C. T. C. Wall: Unknotting tori in codimension one and spheres in codimension two, *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc. math. phys. Sci.* **61** (1965), 659–664.
14. E. C. ZEEMAN: Unknotting combinatorial balls, *Ann. Math.* **78** (1962), 501–526.
15. E. C. ZEEMAN: Seminars on combinatorial topology, (mimeographed), Inst. des Hautes Etudes Sci., Paris (1963–1966).

*Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan*